



## Sensitivity of human meningioma cells to the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, TG02

Caroline von Achenbach<sup>a,1</sup>, Emilie Le Rhun<sup>a,1</sup>, Felix Sahm<sup>d,f,g,1</sup>, Sophie S. Wang<sup>b</sup>, Philipp Sievers<sup>d,f,g</sup>, Marian C. Neidert<sup>b</sup>, Elisabeth J. Rushing<sup>c</sup>, Tracy Lawhon<sup>e</sup>, Hannah Schneider<sup>a</sup>, Andreas von Deimling<sup>d,f,g</sup>, Michael Weller<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neurology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Neuropathology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>d</sup> Department of Neuropathology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>e</sup> Adastral Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA, United States of America

<sup>f</sup> Clinical Cooperation Unit Neuropathology, German Consortium for Transnational Cancer Research (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>g</sup> Hopp Children's Cancer Center, Heidelberg, Germany

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 24 June 2020

Accepted 29 June 2020

#### Keywords:

Meningioma

TG02

Mutation

Methylation

### ABSTRACT

Standards of care for meningioma include surgical resection and radiotherapy whereas pharmacotherapy plays almost no role in this disease. We generated primary cultures from surgically removed meningiomas to explore the activity of a novel cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, TG02, in meningioma cell cultures. Tumor and cell cultures were characterized by mutation profiling and DNA methylation profiling. DNA methylation data were used to allot each sample to one out of six previously established meningioma methylation classes: benign (*ben*)-1, 2, 3, intermediate (*int*)-A, B, and malignant (*mal*). Four tumors assigned to the methylation class *ben*-2 showed the same class in culture whereas cultures from five non-*ben*-2 tumors showed a more malignant class in four patients. Cell cultures were uniformly sensitive to TG02 in the nanomolar range. Assignment of the cell cultures to a more malignant methylation class appeared to be more closely associated with TG02 sensitivity than assignment to a higher WHO grade of the primary tumors. Primary cell cultures from meningioma facilitate the investigation of the anti-meningioma activity of novel agents. TG02, an orally available cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor, warrants further exploration.

### Introduction

Meningiomas are the most common primary intracranial tumors [1]. The revised WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system recognizes three grades of malignancy for meningiomas with nine histological variants for grade I tumors, three variants for grade II tumors, and three variants for grade III tumors [2]. WHO grade I meningiomas represent approximately 90% of these tumors. Many of these are incidentally detected and may need no intervention. In contrast, WHO grade II (7%) and III (3%) tumors are less common, but notably grade III meningiomas are aggressive tumors that can be lethal within a few years despite aggressive multimodality treatment. The histological variants within the different grades of meningiomas provide little prognostic information and subgroups of meningiomas behave different from what would be predicted by their grade assignment,

that is, some grade I tumors progress rapidly despite adequate treatment whereas some grade II and more rarely grade III tumors show a more benign course than expected [3]. These shortcomings of the purely morphological classification of meningiomas in the current WHO classification may be overcome by genomic methylation profiling that has allowed to delineate six prognostic classes of meningiomas referred to as *ben*-1, 2, 3, *int*-A, *int*-B, and *mal* [4]. Classifiers based on methylation profiling may provide superior prognostic information for individual patient counseling and for patient stratification in clinical trials [4,5].

Surgery and radiotherapy are the standard treatments for meningiomas. The majority of grade I meningiomas can probably be cured by surgery alone. Incompletely resected WHO grade I meningiomas can be followed and irradiated if symptomatic or at further progression. The role of immediate radiotherapy after complete resection of WHO grade II meningiomas

\* Corresponding author at: Laboratory of Molecular Neuro-Oncology, Department of Neurology, University Hospital Zurich, Frauenklinikstrasse 26, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland.  
E-mail address: [michael.weller@usz.ch](mailto:michael.weller@usz.ch) (M. Weller).

<sup>1</sup> Share first authorship.

## Abbreviations

AnxV	annexin V
ben	benign
CDK	cyclin-dependent kinase
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium
FCS	fetal calf serum
int	intermediate
mal	malignant
PI	propidium iodide
VEGF	vascular endothelial growth factor

remains controversial and is being addressed in randomized clinical trials. WHO grade III meningiomas tend to respond only transiently to surgery followed by radiotherapy and remain a therapeutic challenge. The role of pharmacotherapy in all types of meningiomas remains controversial [3]. Current therapeutic approaches in individual patients include the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) antibody bevacizumab [6] or multikinase inhibitors such as sunitinib [7].

TG02, an oral cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor, is thought to suppress tumor cell proliferation by depleting short-lived survival proteins such as MCL-1 and c-MYC, which are transcribed by CDK9-dependent RNA polymerase II [8]. TG02 exhibits preclinical activity in multiple tumor models including glioma [9,10], and is currently in clinical development for newly diagnosed and recurrent glioblastoma (NCT02942264, EORTC 1608/NCT03224104). Proliferative activity of meningiomas correlates with WHO grade and only WHO grade II and III meningiomas were shown to express MYC protein by immunohistochemistry [11,12]. Moreover, widespread expression of BCL-2 family proteins including MCL-1 has also been reported in meningiomas [13]. These data provided a rationale to explore the activity of TG02 in human meningioma models.

## Methods

### Materials and cell cultures

TG02 was kindly provided by Adastra (Carlsbad, CA), cisplatin and hydroxyurea were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). To establish patient-derived meningioma models for *in vitro* drug testing, we adapted a standard procedure used to derive glioma-initiating cell cultures established in our laboratory [10]. Cell cultures were isolated from freshly resected tumors after approval by the local ethics committee (2016-00456) and obtaining informed patient consent. Briefly, tumor tissue was minced and then digested with collagenase D (0.4 mg/ml) (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and DNase I (50 µl) (Sigma-Aldrich/Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 2% fetal calf serum (FCS) for 45 min at 37 °C. After incubation, further homogenization was performed by resuspension with an 18G needle. The solution was filtered with a 70 µm mesh and washed with phosphate-buffered saline. Cells were centrifuged, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was then cultured over three days in DMEM with 10% FCS, 1% glutamine (10 µl/ml) (Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland) and penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich/Merck). On the third day, the medium was exchanged, and cells were cultured and subsequently split. All cells used in this study were sent for short tandem repeat analysis (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) and were tested for mycoplasma contamination.

### Target inhibition and viability studies

Cell culture experiments were conducted essentially as described for the characterization of TG02 activity in glioma models [10]. Cell cultures of passages 4 to 12 were used. In brief, TG02 target inhibition was assessed by immunoblot using specific antibodies to phospho-RPB1, MCL-1 and c-

MYC (Cell Signaling Technology, Leiden, The Netherlands), using GAPDH as a loading control. Protein bands were visualized using horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and enhanced chemiluminescence and quantified by ImageJ software (Open Source). For growth inhibition studies using TG02, cisplatin or hydroxyurea, the cells were seeded at a density of 40,000–50,000 cells per well in 96 well plates in serum-containing medium and exposed to serial drug dilutions 24 h thereafter, for a duration of 5 days, depending on cell line-inherent growth patterns. Cell density was assessed by MTT assay and EC<sub>50</sub> values from 2 to 3 experiments were derived by Graphpad.

Viability was directly interrogated after exposure to TG02 for 72 h by annexin V (AnxV)/propidium iodide (PI) flow cytometry, using staurosporine as a positive control. To determine specific cell cycle to changes induced by TG02, the cells were fixed, permeabilized with cold ethanol (70%), RNA was digested, and DNA was stained with PI. Senescence was studied using the Senescence β-galactosidase Staining Kit (Cell Signalling Technology) [10].

### DNA methylation profiling

DNA was extracted from tumor tissue with tumor cell content >80% by histopathological evaluation and from harvested cells using the automated Maxwell system with the Maxwell 16 FFPE Plus LEV DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). DNA methylation profiling of all samples was performed using the Infinium MethylationEPIC (850k) BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) or Infinium HumanMethylation450 (450k) BeadChip (Illumina) array [4]. Methylation classes and meningioma methylation classes of the samples were identified as described [14]. Filtering and genome-wide copy number analyses were performed as previously described, using the 'conumee' package in R (<http://www.bioconductor.org>) [15]. For illustration of DNA methylation data, t-distributed sn Neighbor embedding (t-SNE) was performed as previously described [16] with reference samples from [14]. Panel sequencing was performed with the previously introduced brain tumor gene panel as described [17].

### Statistical analysis

Technical and biological replicates were obtained. Results shown are usually from representative experiments. For statistical analysis one-way or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc testing (multiple comparisons) was performed.

### Data availability


Data sets supporting the results in this study are available as "Supplementary material".

## Results

### Characterization of meningioma samples

Fig. 1 and Table 1 provide an overview of tumors included in this study. Gene panel sequencing revealed several mutations known to be common in meningiomas, along with few variants of unknown significance (Table S1). 850K methylation profiling and copy number analysis revealed that all samples had highest scores for the methylation class "meningioma" and could subsequently be assigned to known distinct meningioma methylation classes [4]. The methylation classes and mutations resembled the established associations: NF2 mutations were found in cases of methylation classes *int-A/B* and *ben-1*, while an activating SMO hotspot mutation was detected in a case of methylation class *ben-2* (Table 1).

We established cell cultures from these tumors and verified derivation of the cell lines from the respective tumors [4]. Methylation classes of tumor samples and cell cultures were identical for all four *ben-2* tumors, two of WHO grade I and two of grade II. Of four tumors classified as *int-*



**Table 1**  
Patient and tumor characteristics.

Age, gender	WHO 2016 diagnosis	WHO grade	Tumor location	Date of surgery	Radiotherapy	PFS in months (with first-line therapy)	OS in months	Mutational analysis (tissue)	Methylation class tumor <sup>a,b</sup>	Methylation class cell culture	TG02 Sensitivity EC <sub>50</sub> [nM]	Maximum growth inhibition [300 µM]	Passage number for TG02 sensitivity studies
ZH-679 49, f	meningothelial meningioma	I	sphenoid wing cerebral	2017-5-10	None	462 +	462 +	No mutation detected	int-A	mal	4	94%	4
ZH-696 76, f	atypical meningioma	II	falx	2017-7-14	5-7/2018	9	550 +	NF2 <sup>d</sup>	int-A	mal	41	66%	12
ZH-706 60, f	atypical meningioma	II	olfactory	2017-7-27	None	476 +	476 +	No mutation detected	ben-2	ben-2	214	55%	7
ZH-707 30, f	meningothelial meningioma	I	sphenoid wing	2017-7-28	None	352 +	352 +	SMO <sup>a</sup>	ben-2	ben-2	84	67%	9
ZH-719 76, f	anaplastic meningioma	III	frontal	2017-8-17	10-11/2017	424 +	424 +	NF2 <sup>c</sup>	int-A	mal	21	71%	11
ZH-733 62, f	meningothelial meningioma	I	frontal	2017-9-28	None	352 +	352 +	No mutation detected	ben-2	ben-2	5	75%	4
ZH-734 54, m	anaplastic meningioma	III	frontal	2017-10-3	11-11/2017	469 +	469 +	NF2 <sup>d</sup>	int-B	int-A	234	59%	7
ZH-735* 60, m	atypical meningioma	II	temporal	2017-10-4	None, radiotherapy declined	3	346 +	NF2 <sup>b</sup> NF2 <sup>d</sup>	int-A	ben-2	>300	36%	4
ZH-739 58, f	chordoid meningioma	II	multiple	2017-10-18	1-2/2018	454 +	454 +	Missing data	ben-2	ben-2	>300	34%	4

\* radiation-induced meningioma: germinoma in 1979.

<sup>a</sup> ben benign, int intermediate, mal malignant


<sup>b</sup> no event

<sup>c</sup> nonsynonymous SNV,

<sup>d</sup> non-frameshift substitution,


<sup>e</sup> non-frameshift deletion,

<sup>f</sup> stopgain SNV. only variants deemed to be clearly relevant to meningioma are stated, all detected variants are given Table S1.



**Fig. 2.** Growth inhibition of meningioma models by TG02. **A.** Cells were exposed to TG02 at 135 or 405 nM for 24 h. Levels of pRNAPII, MCL-1 or c-MYC were assessed by immunoblot. LN-229 glioma cells exposed to TG02 at 100  $\mu$ M for 24 h were used as a positive control. **B.** Specificity of the double c-MYC band in ZH-735 cells was explored by c-myc gene silencing. **C.** Cell lines were exposed to TG02 for 120 h. Viability was assessed by MTT assay. Cells were exposed to cisplatin or hydroxyurea in parallel. Data are expressed as metabolic activity relative to solvent control. **D.** ZH-739 cells were exposed to TG02 at 50 or 150 nM or to staurosporine (250 nM) for 72 h and analysed by annexin V/PI flow cytometry. **E.** ZH-735 cells were treated accordingly and assessed by PI flow cytometry for DNA content.

To allow an exploration of the relationships between sensitivity of TG02 and tumor characteristics, we arbitrarily set the EC<sub>50</sub> value of the two most resistant cell lines to 300 nM although their true EC<sub>50</sub> is known, but higher than 300 nM. There was no uniform association of WHO grade of the tumors and TG02 sensitivity of the cell lines except that none of the WHO grade I tumor-derived cell lines was resistant to TG02 (Fig. 3A). There was no difference between the groups (n = 4 each) of cell cultures derived from tumors classified as *ben-2* as opposed to *int-A* (Fig. 3B). In contrast, cell lines assigned to the methylation class *mal* appeared to be more sensitive to TG02 than *ben-2* cultures (Fig. 3C).



noted that cell cultures from all *ben-2* tumors exhibited the same methylation class as the primary tumors whereas as all non-*ben-2* tumor-derived cell cultures exhibited a shift in methylation class, with four of five cultures assigned to a less benign methylation class. Still, the characteristic features of meningioma remained detectable in the cell cultures as confirmed by t-SNE clustering (Fig. S2).

We report that the novel cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, TG02, shows activity in several patient-derived cell culture models of meningiomas of various WHO grades. Suppression of growth appears to be primarily mediated through inhibition of proliferation rather than acute induction of cell death. It is tempting to speculate that the more prominent growth inhibition seen in cell cultures from less benign tumors is related to their higher MYC levels [11,12], given that MYC is an indirect target of TG02.

Limitations of our study include uncertainty regarding the representativeness of the derived cell cultures for the tumors, their likely contamination by non-tumor cells at least during initial passages, uncertainty regarding the significance of differences between cultures and tumors in terms of methylation class and copy number variations, and likely changing drug sensitivity over time. Several lines ceased growth after weeks and were no longer available for further studies, including *in vivo* studies. However, studies like ours are scarce, and patient-derived cell cultures are probably still the best model available to explore novel drugs. Larger sample sets are required to further interrogate the value of WHO grade *versus* methylation based grade prediction in terms of predicting cell line responses *in vitro*. Given the relentless, but mostly slow growth of meningiomas, such a pattern of activity appears to make TG02 an interesting therapeutic candidate, in a setting where almost no progress with pharmacotherapy has been made in decades [3].

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2020.100852>.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

CvA: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration; Validation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

ELR: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation;

Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

FS: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Supervision;

Validation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

SSW: Data curation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

PS: Data curation; Validation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

MCN: Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Validation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

EJR: Data curation; Formal analysis; Validation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

TL: Resources; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

HS: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Validation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

AvD: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Supervision; Validation; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

MW: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration;

Resources; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

#### Funding

None.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

ELR has received research grants from Mundipharma and Amgen and honoraria for lectures or advisory board from Abbvie, Daiichi Sankyo, Mundipharma and Novartis. FS has received travel support from Agilent and Illumina and speaker's bureau from Agilent. MW has received research grants from Abbvie, Adastr, Dracen, Merck, Sharp & Dohme (MSD), Merck (EMD), Novocure, OGD2, Piquar and Roche, and honoraria for lectures or advisory board participation or consulting from Abbvie, Basilea, Bristol Meyer Squibb, Celgene, Merck, Sharp & Dohme (MSD), Merck (EMD), Novocure, Orbus, Roche and Tocagen. TL is employee of Tragara Pharmaceuticals. CvA, SW, PS, MN, ER, HS, AVD declare no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge expert clinical assistance provided by F. Wiget (Zurich).

#### References

- [1] Q.T. Ostrom, H. Gittleman, G. Truitt, A. Boscia, C. Kruchko, J.S. Barnholtz-Sloan, CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2011–2015, *Neuro-oncology* 20 (2018) iv1–86.
- [2] D.N. Louis, A. Perry, G. Reifenberger, et al., The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary, *Acta Neuropathol.* 131 (2016) 803–820.
- [3] R. Goldbrunner, G. Minniti, M. Preusser, et al., EANO guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of meningiomas, *Lancet Oncol.* 17 (2016) e383–e391.
- [4] F. Sahm, D. Schrimpf, D. Stichel, et al., DNA methylation-based classification and grading system for meningioma: a multicentre, retrospective analysis, *Lancet Oncol.* 18 (2017) 682–694.
- [5] F. Nassiri, Y. Mamatjan, S. Suppiah, et al., DNA methylation profiling to predict recurrence risk in meningioma: development and validation of a nomogram to optimize clinical management, *Neuro-oncology* 21 (2019) 901–910.
- [6] L. Nayak, F.M. Iwamoto, J.D. Rudnick, et al., Atypical and anaplastic meningiomas treated with bevacizumab, *J. Neuro-Oncol.* 109 (2012) 187–193.
- [7] T.J. Kaley, P. Wen, D. Schiff, et al., Phase II trial of sunitinib for recurrent and progressive atypical and anaplastic meningioma, *Neuro-oncology* 17 (2015) 116–121.
- [8] S. Álvarez-Fernández, M.J. Ortiz-Ruiz, T. Parrott, et al., Potent antimyeloma activity of a novel ERK5/CDK inhibitor, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 19 (2013) 2677–2687.
- [9] Y.T. Su, R. Chen, H. Wang, et al., Novel targeting of transcription and metabolism in glioblastoma, *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2032> (published online Dec 18).
- [10] E. Le Rhun, C. von Achenbach, B. Lohmann, et al., Profound, durable and MGMT-independent sensitivity of glioblastoma cells to cyclin-dependent kinase inhibition, *Int. J. Cancer* 145 (2019) 242–253.
- [11] G. Nagashima, J. Asai, R. Suzuki, T. Fujimoto, Different distribution of c-myc and MIB-1 positive cells in malignant meningiomas with reference to TGFs, PDGF, and PgR expression, *Brain Tumor Pathol* 18 (2001) 1–5.
- [12] B.R. Ongaratti, C.B.O. Silva, G. Trott, et al., Expression of merlin, NDRG2, ERBB2, and c-MYC in meningiomas: relationship with tumor grade and recurrence, *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 49 (2016), e5125.
- [13] R. Koschny, W. Krupp, L.-X. Xu, et al., WHO grade related expression of TRAIL-receptors and apoptosis regulators in meningioma, *Pathol. Res. Pract.* 211 (2015) 109–116.
- [14] D. Capper, D.T.W. Jones, M. Sill, et al., DNA methylation-based classification of central nervous system tumours, *Nature* 555 (2018) 469–474.
- [15] D. Sturm, B.A. Orr, U.H. Toprak, et al., New brain tumor entities emerge from molecular classification of CNS-PNETs, *Cell* 164 (2016) 1060–1072.
- [16] M.Y. Deng, M. Sill, J. Chiang, et al., Molecularly defined diffuse leptomeningeal glioneuronal tumor (DLGNT) comprises two subgroups with distinct clinical and genetic features, *Acta Neuropathol.* 136 (2018) 239–253.
- [17] F. Sahm, D. Schrimpf, D.T.W. Jones, et al., Next-generation sequencing in routine brain tumor diagnostics enables an integrated diagnosis and identifies actionable targets, *Acta Neuropathol.* 131 (2016) 903–910.
- [18] P.K. Brastianos, P.M. Horowitz, S. Santagata, et al., Genomic sequencing of meningiomas identifies oncogenic SMO and AKT1 mutations, *Nat. Genet.* 45 (2013) 285–289.
- [19] V.E. Clark, E.Z. Erson-Omay, A. Serin, et al., Genomic analysis of non-NF2 meningiomas reveals mutations in TRAF7, KLF4, AKT1, and SMO, *Science* 339 (2013) 1077–1080.
- [20] D.E. Reuss, R.M. Piro, D.T.W. Jones, et al., Secretory meningiomas are defined by combined KLF4 K409Q and TRAF7 mutations, *Acta Neuropathol.* 125 (2013) 351–358.
- [21] M. Weller, P. Roth, F. Sahm, et al., Durable control of metastatic AKT1-mutant WHO grade 1 meningeal meningioma by the AKT inhibitor, AZD5363, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 109 (2017) 1–4.