Sex influences health: reporting on female characteristics should be mandatory in exercise and physical activity-related diabetes research. Yardley JE, Govette A, Laesser CI, Lespagnol É, Logan JE, Sim JAP, Talbo MK, Prévost MS, Perry T, von Zezschwitz JD, Bally L, Brazeau AS, Heyman E, Jung ME, Peters TM, Zaharieva DP, Gillen JB. Diabetologia. 2024 Jan;67(1):209-210.

doi: 10.1007/s00125-023-06022-2

PMID:

Research Letter

Sex Influences Health: Reporting on Female Characteristics Should be Mandatory in

Exercise and Physical Activity-Related Diabetes Research

Jane E. Yardley, PhD¹⁻⁴, Alexa Govette, MSc⁵, Céline I. Laesser, MD⁶, Élodie Lespagnol, PhD⁷, Jessica E. Logan, BSc⁴, Jenna A.P. Sim, BHK⁸, Meryem K. Talbo, MSc⁹, Melinda S. Prévost, MSc, Tawnya Perry, RN, Jasmin D. von Zezschwitz,^{2,10} Lia Bally MD, PhD¹¹, Anne-Sophie Brazeau, PhD⁹, Elsa Heyman, PhD^{7,12}, Mary E. Jung, PhD⁸, Tricia Peters, PhD¹³, Dessi P. Zaharieva, PhD¹⁴, Jenna B. Gillen, PhD⁵

¹ University of Alberta, Augustana Faculty, Camrose, Alberta, Canada

² Alberta Diabetes Institute, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

³ Women and Children's Health Research Institute, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

⁴ Faculty of Kinesiology, Sport and Recreation, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

⁵ Faculty of Kinesiology and Physical Education, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁶ Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, University Children's Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

- ⁷ Pluridisciplinary Sport Health and Society Research Unit (URePSSS), Lille University, Artois University, University of the Littoral Côte d'Opale, Lille, France
- ⁸ School of Health and Exercise Sciences, University of British Columbia, Okanagan Campus, Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada
- ⁹ School of Human Nutrition, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
- ¹⁰ Institute for Nutritional Medicine, University of Luebeck, Germany
- ¹¹ Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology, Nutritional Medicine and Metabolism, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- ¹² University Institute of France (IUF), Paris, France
- ¹³ Division of Endocrinology, Jewish General Hospital, McGill University; Centre for Clinical Epidemiology, Lady Davis research Institute, Montreal, Quebec
- ¹⁴ Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

Keywords: Reporting standards, female, menstrual cycle, menopause

Corresponding Author

Jane E Yardley
University of Alberta, Augustana Faculty
4901 46 Avenue
Camrose, AB T4V 2R3
jeyardle@ualberta.ca

Word count: 656 Tables and figures: 0

Tweet – Female-specific physiological characteristics need to be described better in diabetes and exercise research.

In recent years, there has been an increased interest in Precision Medicine in order to provide treatment and disease management that takes into account individual characteristics, lifestyles, and environments. In spite of this movement towards more precise recommendations, physical activity (PA)/exercise research studies involving females with diabetes (both type 1 and type 2) very often fail to account for female-specific physiological factors such as the menstrual cycle (or lack thereof), cycle regularity, use of hormonal contraceptives, menopausal status, and female-specific cardiometabolic risks (e.g., history of pregnancy complications). The menstrual cycle is known for causing changes in insulin sensitivity throughout the cycle [1]. Pregnancy causes insulin resistance, and menopause is associated with relevant changes in carbohydrate, lipid, and protein metabolism [2]. These variables may alter PA/exercise-related blood glucose responses and muscle health. As such, these characteristics warrant greater attention in research studies involving female participants with diabetes.

Researchers leading studies in PA/exercise involving female participants with diabetes may refer to a recent publication outlining methodological considerations when including females in PA/exercise studies [3]. Some of the main recommendations include recruiting female participants based on pre-defined criteria (e.g., menstrual cycle phase [4], use of hormonal contraceptives, menopausal status, use of hormone replacement therapy) much of which can be provided by self-report. Ideally, some of these characteristics (e.g., menstrual cycle phase, menopausal status) can be confirmed retrospectively through biochemical measurement of samples (blood, urine, saliva) collected at the time of study participation [3]. This working guide for standards of practice also proposes clear definitions for terms such as eumenorrhea, anovulatory, luteal phase, oligomenorrhea, hormonal contraceptive users, perimenopause, and post-menopause among others, while also providing guidelines for hormonal reference ranges that could be used to include

or exclude participant data based on these definitions. Where determining menstrual cycle length and specific phases is concerned, the authors suggest tracking and establishing menstrual cycle characteristics for at least two months prior to testing by, at minimum, noting the first and last day of menstruation for each cycle. For those using hormonal contraceptives, it is recommended to report not only the type and regimen of hormonal contraceptive being used, but also report where the user finds themselves in a cycle (e.g., active oral contraceptive pill days, versus inactive/placebo or pill-free days) if this has not been controlled for in the study design.

While some may argue that we do not have enough evidence of the menstrual cycle or menopause affecting blood glucose or other physiological responses to PA/exercise in female participants with diabetes to warrant these measures, we will never have this type of information unless an effort is made to control for, or at minimum characterize, these factors in research studies. While confirming menstrual cycle status by blood sampling may be beyond the budget of certain small studies, documentation of self-reported values should be standard practice. We suggest that these details include average menstrual cycle length (self-reported based on average of last two cycles), menstrual cycle day/phase of experimental testing days (with day 1 being the onset of menses), menopausal status (months/years since last menstrual cycle), and type/timing within the cycle of hormonal contraceptive use.

With rising reports of sex-related differences in both chronic and acute blood glucose responses to exercise [5], it is important to consider other metabolic aspects of female health that may affect these responses and are presently overlooked in most studies. As such, current guidelines for measuring cardiorespiratory fitness and muscle stimulation around PA, and/or obtaining the optimal glycemic benefits from being active, are based on data collected from studies involving only male participants, or mixed-sex cohorts where female participants are generally poorly

characterised. This lack of information may result in imprecise PA/exercise recommendations for females living with diabetes. We suggest that a better reporting of these characteristics become standard in PA/exercise and diabetes research moving forward, to ensure that females with diabetes are able to achieve optimal health benefits of PA/exercise across different stages of their lifespan.

Acknowledgements

This manuscript was discussed and planned during an in-person meeting funded by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Planning and Dissemination grant. JEY was supported by a Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada Alberta New Investigator Award. CIL has received research support from the Swiss Academic of Medical Sciences. ASB is a Fond de recherche du Québec-Santé (FRQS) research scholar. TMP has received research support from FRQS. DPZ has received an ISPAD-JDRF Research Fellowship and research support from Insulet and the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust. JEY is the guarantor of this work.

Conflict of Interest

JEY has received speaker's fees and in-kind research support from Dexcom, speaker's fees from Abbott, and in-kind research support from One Touch. LB has received speaker's fee and in-kind research support from Dexcom, has received honoraria as a member on scientific advisory boards (Novo Nordisk, Oviva). ASB has received speaker's fees from Dexcom. JBG has received in-kind research support from Dexcom. DPZ has received honoraria for speaking engagements from Ascensia Diabetes, Insulet Canada, and Medtronic Diabetes and is on an advisory board for Dexcom.

Author Contributions and Guarantor Statement

All authors discussed the content of this manuscript in person. JEY drafted the letter. All authors approved the final version of this submission.

References

- [1] MacGregor KA, Gallagher IJ, Moran CN (2021) Relationship Between Insulin Sensitivity and Menstrual Cycle Is Modified by BMI, Fitness, and Physical Activity in NHANES. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 106(10): 2979-2990. 10.1210/clinem/dgab415
- [2] Melmer A, Zurrer I, Kopp F, et al. (2021) Differences in insulin dosing in women with type 1 diabetes before and after the menopause. Swiss Med Wkly 151: w30025. 10.4414/smw.2021.w30025
- [3] Elliott-Sale KJ, Minahan CL, de Jonge X, et al. (2021) Methodological Considerations for Studies in Sport and Exercise Science with Women as Participants: A Working Guide for Standards of Practice for Research on Women. Sports Med 51(5): 843-861. 10.1007/s40279-021-01435-8
- [4] Toor S, Yardley JE, Momeni Z (2023) Type 1 Diabetes and the Menstrual Cycle: Where/How Does Exercise Fit in? Int J Environ Res Public Health 20(4). 10.3390/ijerph20042772
- [5] Brockman NK, Yardley JE (2018) Sex-related differences in fuel utilization and hormonal response to exercise: implications for individuals with type 1 diabetes. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 43(6): 541-552. 10.1139/apnm-2017-0559