YNECOLOGY OBSTETRICS 🛞 WILEY

CLINICAL ARTICLE

Obstetrics

Impact of prenatal corticosteroid therapy on sickle cell disease in pregnant women

Florence Wang^{1,2} | Laurent Mandelbrot^{1,2,3} | Louis Affo⁴ | Frédéric Galacteros⁵ | Stéphane Bounan⁶ | Hervé Fernandez⁷ | Axel Fichez⁸ | Giovanna Cannas⁹ | Marine Driessen¹⁰ | Véronique Debarge¹¹ | Gylna Loko¹² | Mayi Gnofam¹ | Caroline Makowski¹³ | Olivier Graesslin¹⁴ | Bassam Haddad¹⁵ | Jeanne Sibiude^{1,2,3} | for the Drepagest Study Group

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Louis Mourier, and FHU PREMA, Colombes, France ²Université de Paris, Paris, France

³Inserm IAME 1137, Paris, France

⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Louis Mourier, Colombes, France

⁵Red Cell Genetic Disease Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, GHU Henri Mondor; U-PEC, Paris, France

⁶Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hôpital Delafontaine, Saint Denis, France

⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Bicêtre, Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France

⁸Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hôpital de la Croix-Rousse, Lyon, France

⁹Sickle Cell Disease Reference Center, Hôpital E. Herriot, HCL, Lyon, France

¹⁰Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Necker, AP-HP, Paris, France

¹¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Lille, Lille, France

¹²Sickle Cell Disease Reference Center, Hôpital La Meynard, Fort-de-France, Martinique, France

¹³Department of Internal Medicine, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France

¹⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hôpital Maison Blanche, Reims, France

¹⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Centre Hospitalier Intercommunal Créteil, Université Paris-Est Créteil, IMRB INSERM U955, Créteil, France

Correspondence

Laurent Mandelbrot, Service de Gynécologie-Obstétrique, Hôpital Louis Mourier, 178 Rue des Renouillers, 92700 Colombes, France. Email: laurent.mandelbrot@aphp.fr

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate safety of prenatal corticosteroids in pregnancies of women with sickle cell disease.

Methods: A multicenter observational study of patients with sickle cell disease, comparing vaso-occlusive crises (VOC) requiring hospital care between pregnancies with versus without prenatal corticosteroids.

Results: In 40 pregnancies exposed to prenatal corticosteroids, compared with 370 unexposed pregnancies, VOC were not more frequent (62.5% vs 57.9%, P=0.578) but they were more severe, with more intensive care hospitalizations (25.0% vs 12.9%, P=0.039), emergency transfusions (44.7% vs 22.7%, P=0.006), and acute chest syndromes (22.5% vs 8.9%, P=0.010). These differences persisted after adjustment for severity and type

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. (X)

of sickle cell syndrome (for intensive care admission adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 2.73, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.10-6.79, P=0.031 and for acute chest syndrome aOR 4.15, 95% CI 1.57-14.4, P=0.008). VOC occurred on average 1.2 days following steroid administration. When comparing 36 patients receiving corticosteroids for fetal maturation with 58 patients who were hospitalized for obstetrical complications before 34 weeks of pregnancy but that did not receive corticosteroids, VOC incidence was not significantly higher (41.7% vs 31.5%, P=0.323).

Conclusion: The present study was the first to study the impact of prenatal corticosteroids on sickle cell disease. They were associated with more severe VOC, suggesting that steroids should be avoided in these women.

KEYWORDS

pregnancy, prenatal corticosteroids, preterm birth, sickle cell disease, vaso-occlusive crisis

INTRODUCTION 1

Sickle cell disease (SCD), one of the most common genetic diseases, is a major health problem worldwide. Pregnancies involving SCD are at increased risk of ending in fetal death and maternal death, which remains around 1% in high-resource settings despite improved management,¹ because of pregnancy complications and SCD complications.²⁻⁶ Maternal complications include vaso-occlusive sickle cell crisis (VOC), acute chest syndrome (ACS), pre-eclampsia, thromboembolism, and infections. The main perinatal complications are preterm delivery, with an incidence of 9%⁷ to 23%,^{8,9} intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and fetal death.^{2-5,10-13}

In women at high risk of spontaneous or medically indicated preterm birth before 34 weeks of pregnancy, prenatal corticosteroids (PC) are recommended (Collège national des gynécologues et obstétriciens français, 2016 Guideline #20209), because they are beneficial to prevent neonatal mortality, hyaline membrane disease, intraventricular hemorrhage, and ulcerative necrotizing enterocolitis.¹⁴

However, there is concern that the use of corticosteroids in patients with SCD may trigger VOC.¹ There has been no study to date and no guideline, so corticosteroid use differs between countries and centers. Some experts avoid corticosteroids and consider prophylactic transfusion to decrease the risk of VOC.^{1,15}

Our objective was to determine whether there is an association between PC therapy and VOC in pregnant women with SCD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS 2

The Drepagest study was a retrospective multicenter observational study of obstetrical management in women with SCD in centers in metropolitan France and Martinique. All participating maternity units are associated with a competence or reference center for SCD. All patients received the usual care as defined in each center.

2.1 Study population

All patients delivering in the centers between January 1, 2009 and June 30, 2020 at 23 weeks of pregnancy or more, having a major sickle cell syndrome (homozygous S/S, S/C, or S/β-thalassemia) were included. For patients with more than one eligible pregnancy during the period, we included either the first pregnancy or the first with corticosteroid use.

2.2 Outcomes

The main outcome was the occurrence during pregnancy of a VOC (an acute episode of pain in bones and muscle or joints in the absence of a traumatic or other cause) requiring emergency hospital care. Secondary outcomes were the number of VOC during pregnancy, VOC requiring opioids, hospitalizations in intensive care for VOC, ACS, thromboembolic complications, preterm deliveries before 37 weeks of pregnancy and neonatal complications (death, hyaline membrane disease, intraventricular hemorrhage, and/or ulcerative necrotizing enterocolitis).

Variables 2.3

We collected baseline socio-demographic and clinical characteristics, SCD type (S/S, S/C or S/ β) and severity of the disease. Severe SCD was defined by one or more of the following criteria: admission to an intensive or critical care unit, ACS, more than three VOC requiring hospitalization over a 1-year period, frequent VOC with personal or social impact, organ damage. Pregnancy complications, prenatal corticosteroid therapy and management, delivery, postpartum and neonatal outcomes were also collected.

2.4 | Statistical methods

Since the impact of PC on SCD complications has not been previously published, we could not estimate the number of participants needed to demonstrate a difference in the outcome. Qualitative variables were described and analyzed by comparing the proportions in each group using a χ^2 test or Fisher exact probability as appropriate. Quantitative variables were compared Student t test. Multivariate analyses were performed by logistic regression. The threshold of statistical significance was set at a P value less than 0.05. We performed sensitivity analyses restricting the study population to women eligible for PC before 34 weeks of pregnancy. Propensity scores were used to estimate the probability of receiving PC. Finally, we studied factors associated with the occurrence of VOC among women receiving PC. Patient records were integrated into an anonymized database via the REDCAP secure database management application¹⁶ and analyzed retrospectively using XLSTAT and STATA 14 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA).

2.5 | Ethical aspects

The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. All patients gave approval for use of their medical records, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Description of the population

We included 410 pregnancies with SCD. The majority had S/S type SCD (n=248; 60.5%), 213 (51.9%) had a history of severe SCD as defined, and 55 (13.4%) had a history of thromboembolism. During the pregnancy, 189 patients (46.1%) received scheduled transfusions. Regarding SCD complications during pregnancy, 233 (56.8%) patients had one or more VOC, 42 (10.2%) had an ACS and 11 (2.7%) had a deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, including two bilateral pulmonary embolisms. Sixty-two (15.1%) patients had infections (including 18 pulmonary infections and 8 with pyelonephritis). In all, 154 patients (37.5%) had pregnancy-related complications, including 54 with IUGR (13.2%), 53 with pre-eclampsia (12.9%), and seven with chorioamnionitis (1.7%). There were 244 (59.5%) cesarean deliveries. Among 434 liveborn infants, 32 (7.4%), all preterm, had neonatal complications, mostly hyaline membrane disease.

3.2 | Comparison of pregnancies exposed or unexposed to PC

Forty patients (9.8%) received PC for fetal lung maturation, all with a single course of betamethasone; none received dexamethasone. GYNECOLOGY Obstetrics

Their body mass index was lower than that of patients not receiving PC (P=0.039). Other variables were similar between the two groups, including SCD type and severity, and management (medications, anticoagulants, prophylactic oxygen, transfusion programs) (Table 1). All of the patients were hospitalized for a high risk of preterm birth. Two of the patients in the group who received corticosteroids had non-severe VOC before administration; both of them became severe within a few hours and required transfer to intensive care on day 1 and day 2, respectively.

There was no significant difference in the incidence of VOC requiring hospital care (62.5% vs 57.9%, respectively, P = 0.578), but patients exposed to PC had twice as many severe VOC requiring admission to intensive care (25.0% vs 12.9%, P = 0.039), emergency transfusions for VOC (44.7% vs 22.7%, P = 0.006) and ACS (22.5% vs 8.9%, P = 0.010). These differences persisted after adjustment for severity of SCD and type of sickle cell syndrome (for admission to intensive care for VOC, adjusted odd ratio [aOR] 2.73, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.10–6.79, P = 0.031; and for ACS, aOR 4.15, 95% CI 1.57–14.4, P = 0.008). The incidence of thrombosis was higher without attaining significance (7.5% vs 2.3%, P = 0.059) (Table 2).

Women exposed to PC had more hospitalizations before 34 weeks for obstetrical complications than unexposed patients, notably preterm labor (30.0% vs 1.6%; P<0.001), reflecting the indication for lung maturation. Over half of the PC-exposed women delivered before 34 weeks of pregnancy (57.5% vs 6.8% of the unexposed, P < 0.001), mostly by emergency (unplanned) cesarean section (72.5% vs 42.1%, P<0.001) (Table 3). The indication for cesarean section was VOC or ACS in 17.5% of cases versus 7.8% (P=0.039). Other indications for cesarean were pre-eclampsia, pulmonary embolism, severe hemolytic anemia, or severe sepsis. Postpartum maternal intensive care was required in 25.0% versus 10.1% (P=0.005), mainly for severe VOC/ACS. PC-exposed newborns were also, as expected, more often preterm, with more neonatal complications (33.3% vs 4.4%, P<0.001) (Table 3). Seven patients receiving PC delivered after 34 weeks of pregnancy, and all had severe sickling crises.

We then restricted the analysis to patients receiving PC for obstetrical reasons (n = 36) and compared them to the unexposed who were potentially eligible for PC, i.e. patients hospitalized before 34 weeks at risk of induced or spontaneous preterm delivery (n = 58).

Patients who were eligible but unexposed, in comparison with PCexposed patients, were more often S/S type (47/58 [82.5%] vs 19/36 [52.8%], P=0.01) (Table S1) and had more VOC before hospitalization (30/58 [68.8%] vs 10/36 [27.8%], P=0.004) (Table 4), but did not have more SCD complications during the pregnancy before hospitalization (Table 4). The PC-exposed were more often hospitalized for threatened preterm labor (12/58 [33.3%] vs 6/36 [10.3%], P=0.009; Table 4).

During hospitalization, the incidence of VOC after PC was 41.7%, versus 31.5% for the PC-eligible unexposed, and VOC requiring opioids, ACS or hospitalization in ICU did not differ significantly. Because there was a possible selection bias, we performed TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of pregnancies according to exposure to prenatal corticosteroid therapy.^a

 $(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}})$ FIGC 18793479, 2023, 1, Downloaded from https://obgyn.anlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/igo.14823 by Cochrane France, Wiley Online Library on [04/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/igo.14823 by Cochrane France, Wiley Online Library on [04/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/igo.14823 by Cochrane France, Wiley Online Library on [04/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/igo.14823 by Cochrane France, Wiley Online Library on [04/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/igo.14823 by Cochrane France, Wiley Online Library on [04/04/2024].

-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

	WANG ET AL.
osteroids ($n = 370$)	
%	P value
±5.4	0.109
75.7% 15.9% 6.2% 2.2%	0.677 - -
±4.6 9.1% 64.8% 18.7% 7.4%	0.140 0.039 - -
7.4% 2.2% 52.4% 5.1% 28.1%	- 1 0.070 0.070 0.671
0.3% 8.4% 4.9% 4.6% 2.7% 13.3	1 1 1 1 1 0.877
11.8	0.556
61.6% 11.7% 26.7% ± 1.4 51.8% 80.9% 12.3% 7.1% 4.3% 2.0%	0.688 - - 0.761 0.201 0.532 0.170 0.521 0.688 0.225
0.85%	1 <0.001
10.9% 5.4% 4.7% 1.6% 13.8% 0.5%	<0.001 <0.001 0.430 0.139 0.008 0.039

	Prenatal corticosteroids ($n = 40$)					
			No prenatal corticos	terolas ($n = 370$)		
Characteristics	n	%	n	%	P value	
Demographics and medical history						
Maternal age at delivery	30.9	±6.0	29.6	<u>+</u> 5.4	0.109	
Geographical origin						
Sub-Saharan Africa	30	75.0%	280	75.7%	0.677	
Caribbean	6	15.0%	59	15.9%	-	
Other	4	10.0%	23	6.2%	-	
Missing	0	0%	8	2.2%	-	
Body mass index	24.2	±6.4	23.1	±4.6	0.140	
Undernourished (BMI <18.5)	4	10.0%	33	9.1%	0.039	
Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9)	18	45.0%	236	64.8%	-	
Overweight (BMI 25-29.9)	11	27.5%	68	18.7%	-	
Obesity (BMI ≥30.0)	7	17.5%	27	7.4%	-	
Smoking	1	2.6%	9	2.2%	1	
Nulliparity	27	67.5%	194	52.4%	0.070	
Twin pregnancy ^b	5	12.5%	19	5.1%	0.070	
Comorbidity ^c	10	25.0%	111	28.1%	0.671	
Diabetes	0	0%	1	0.3%	1	
Cardiovascular	3	7.5%	31	8.4%	1	
Respiratory	1	2.5%	18	4.9%	1	
Neurologic	2	5.0%	17	4.6%	1	
Renal	1	2.5%	10	2.7%	1	
Other	5	12.5	49	13.3	0.877	
Thromboembolic history	6	15.0	43	11.8	0.556	
Sickle cell disease history						
Type of SCD						
SS	22	55.0%	226	61.6%	0.688	
Sβ	5	12.5%	43	11.7%	-	
SC	13	32.5%	98	26.7%	-	
Hemoglobin concentration at baseline, g/dL	8.9	±1.6	9.0	±1.4	0.761	
Severe SCD ^d	25	62.5%	188	51.8%	0.201	
History of transfusion	34	85%	288	80.9%	0.532	
History of transfusion complications ^c	8	20.0%	43	12.3%	0.170	
Allo-immunization	4	10.0%	25	7.1%	0.521	
Delayed hemolysis	2	5.0%	15	4.3%	0.688	
Hemochromatosis	2	5.0%	7	2.0%	0.225	
Infection	0	0%	3	0.85%	1	
Pregnancy-related diseases						
Hospitalization for obstetrical complications before 34 weeks	36	90.0%	58	15.7%	<0.001	
Preeclampsia	13	32.5%	40	10.9%	< 0.001	
Severe pre-eclampsia	9	22.5%	20	5.4%	<0.001	
Gestational diabetes	3	7.5%	17	4.7%	0.430	
Intrauterine infection	2	5.0%	6	1.6%	0.139	
Bacterial infection	12	30.0%	51	13.8%	0.008	
Cervical cerclage	2 ^e	5.0%	2 ^f	0.5%	0.039	
-						

TABLE 1 (Continued)

NECOLOGY PBSTETRICS ₩ILEY <u>247</u>

	Prenatal corticosteroids (n=40)		No prenatal		
Characteristics	n	%	n	%	P value
Threatened preterm labor	12	30.0%	6	1.6%	<0.001
PPROM	6	15.0%	9	2.4%	<0.001
IUGR	14	35.0%	40	10.9%	<0.001
Severe IUGR <3rd centile	5	12.5%	18	4.9%	0.029
Fetal malformative or genetic disease	1	2.5%	12	3.2%	1
Postpartum period					
PPH	9	22.5%	57	15.7%	0.27
Severe PPH	4	10.0%	22	5.9%	0.32
Admission to ICU/critical care	10	25.0%	37	10.1%	0.005
Indication for ICU admission ^b					
VOC/ACS	7	17.5%	25	6.8%	0.019
Hypertensive complication	2	5.0%	7	1.9%	0.217
PPH	1	2.5%	10	2.7%	1
Other	5	12.5%	12	3.3%	0.020

Abbreviations: ACS, acute chest syndrome; BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters); ICU, intensive care unit; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction; PC, prenatal corticosteroids; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage; PPROM, preterm prelabor rupture of membranes; SCD, sickle cell disease; VOC, vaso-occlusive crisis.

^aData are presented as mean ± standard deviation or as number and percentage.

^bAll twin pregnancies were dichorionic.

^cThey are not mutually exclusive.

^dSevere sickle cell disease defined by the existence of one or more of the following criteria: history of hospitalization in ICU or in ICU for VOC, history of ACS, history of more than three VOC requiring hospitalization over 1 year, frequent VOC with impact on personal life, visceral damage.

^eOne patient had a prophylactic cerclage, the other one had a therapeutic cerclage.

^fBoth had a prophylactic cerclage.

an adjustment on the propensity score estimating the probability of receiving PC. The propensity score-adjusted results did not differ from the primary results, and the ORs associated with the main outcomes were further attenuated (Table 5).

Over half of the patients in both groups delivered by emergency cesarean section, with no significant difference in the indications between the two groups. More than half of cesarean sections were performed before 34 weeks (61.1% vs 27.6%, P=0.012). There were more neonatal complications in the PC group (33.3% vs 13.8%, P=0.004), related to the difference in gestational age (Table 6).

Finally, we sought to determine characteristics associated with the occurrence of VOC after PC (Table 7). The risk of VOC was higher in severe baseline SCD (OR 6.0, 95% CI 1.47–31.6, P=0.023). The proportion with S/S disease was higher, without reaching statistical significance (Table S2). The number of VOC during pregnancy, management of SCD during pregnancy and pregnancy-related diseases did not differ, except for IUGR, which was more frequent than in patients who did not have VOC following PC (9/18 [50.0%] vs 4/22 [18.2%]; P=0.050).

Seven patients received prophylactic exchange transfusions 24–48h before PC. Among them, three developed VOC. Exchange transfusion was not associated with a reduced risk of VOC among PC patients. One patient received oral prednisone 10mg/24h twice before PC with the objective of corticosteroid desensitization, but she developed severe VOC.

The time between PC and the onset of VOC was a mean of 1.2 days ($\pm 1.3 \text{ days}$ standard deviation). Two patients had bilateral pulmonary embolisms within 24h of PC.

In multivariate analysis, adjusted for the severity of SCD, the occurrence of VOC after PC was not significantly reduced in case of transfusion or other prophylaxis before PC (Table 8).

4 | DISCUSSION

Patients exposed to prenatal corticosteroids had more severe VOC and more ACS. They also tended to have more thromboembolic complications. However, the overall incidence of VOC among women with SCD receiving PC was not higher than in those who did not receive steroids. When comparing pregnancies exposed to PC with patients also hospitalized before 34 weeks of pregnancy at risk of preterm delivery we did not find any significant difference in outcomes, even after adjustment on the propensity score. These results should nevertheless be taken with caution because few eligible patients received steroids, which is consistent with a restrictive approach in clinical practice in France. The timing of events could TABLE 2 Sickle cell disease complications during pregnancy according to exposure to prenatal corticosteroid therapy.^a

	Prenatal (n=40)	corticosteroids	No prenata (n = 370)	al corticosteroids	P value
SCD during pregnancy					
Hemoglobin in early pregnancy, g/dL	9.3	±2.8	9.1	±1.3	0.830
Hydroxycarbamide	2	5.0%	8	2.2%	0.256
Other treatments during pregnancy					
Aspirin	3	7.5%	35	9.5%	1
LMWH	5	12.5%	51	13.8%	1
Transfusion program ^b	20	52.6%	169	45.7%	0.409
Prophylactic oxygen	5	12.5%	52	14.2%	0.767
SCD complications during pregnancy					
VOC during pregnancy	25	62.5%	208	57.9%	0.578
Number of VOC during pregnancy	1.0	±1.0	1.1	±1.4	0.349
Emergency transfusion for VOC during pregnancy $^{\rm c}$	17	44.7%	84	22.7%	0.006
VOC requiring opioids during pregnancy	20	50.0%	147	42.6%	0.368
Hospitalization in ICU for VOC	10	25.0%	46	12.9%	0.039
Acute chest syndrome during pregnancy	9	22.5%	33	8.9%	0.010
Thromboembolic complication during pregnancy	3	7.5%	8	2.3%	0.059

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; SCD, sickle cell disease; VOC, vaso-occlusive crisis. ^aData are presented as mean±standard deviation or as number and percentage.

^bTransfusion program defined by exchange transfusions at regular intervals during pregnancy.

^cDoes not include transfusions administered as part of a transfusion program or prophylactic transfusions before prenatal corticosteroids.

suggest a role of PC in the occurrence of VOC, which occurred on average 1.2 days following steroid administration.

Vaso-occlusive crises are frequent in pregnant women with SCD, 56.8% in our cohort, similar to other cohorts ranging from 40%³ to 64.8%,⁴ and in a study based on health insurance data where it was 43%.² The incidence of ACS was 10.2% in our cohort and between 7% and 20% in previous studies.^{4,8,17} The incidence of thromboembolisms was in the order of 3% as in previous studies.⁵ There was no maternal death, whereas in previous reports the incidence was around 1%,^{1,9} reaching 3% in a meta-analysis by Boafor et al.,¹⁸ which included studies from African countries. The main causes of death were cerebral or pulmonary embolisms.^{1,2,4,5}

There are no published data on VOC following corticosteroid use in pregnant women with SCD. In non-pregnant patients with SCD, Bernini et al.¹⁹ and Strouse et al.,²⁰ showed rates of VOC requiring hospitalization with opioid analgesia within 3–4 days of treatment of 59% and 31.5%, respectively, among patients who received corticosteroids, which is similar to our incidence in pregnant women. The risks and benefits of using corticosteroids in individuals with SCD has recently gained attention. In a meta-analysis, Lopinto et al.²¹ were unable to conclude on the benefit of corticosteroids to treat patients hospitalized for VOC or ACS, where the length of hospital stay was reduced when considering three randomized trials, but the risk of readmission increased. The systematic review by Ferreira de Matos et al.²² concluded that corticosteroid administration to SCD patients results in increased risks of sickling complications. In a populationbased retrospective study on 5151 patients, Walter et al.²³ found that corticosteroid exposure was associated with the occurrence of hospitalizations for VOC in people with SCD (aOR 3.8; 95% CI 2.4–5.6). Interestingly, this association was more frequent in women than men, but the study did not have information on pregnancy status.

The pathophysiologic explanation for why corticosteroid use may favor VOC remains poorly described. It may involve well-known effects of corticosteroids, particularly demargination of granulocytes, osteonecrosis, and immunodepression, with possible exacerbation of an infection;^{24,25} two of our patients receiving PC had chorioamnionitis after preterm prelabor rupture of membranes.

Poor neonatal outcomes in the group receiving PC were related to preterm deliveries before 34 weeks, which occurred in over 60% in this group, over twice the rate in the patients not receiving PC, which reflects the indications for fetal pulmonary maturation.²⁶

In view of the risks, we suggest that PC should be avoided.¹⁴ The risk of VOC after PC, and of severe manifestations, was highest among patients with severe S/S SCD and when the indication for PC was IUGR. However, no element was completely predictive of safety of PC.

When corticosteroids are required in a patient with SCD, some experts recommend using preventive measures prophylactically, such as hydroxyurea and/or transfusion.²² We could not demonstrate protection from VOC after PC using exchange transfusions or oxygen, however statistical power was very limited. There was a trend not attaining statistical significance towards more transfusions before corticosteroids, compared with patients eligible for but not treated with corticosteroids. Transfusion programs have

	Prenatal corticostero	ids (n = 40)	No prenatal corticost	eroids (n = 370)	P value
Obstetrical outcomes					
Gestational age at delivery, weeks	32.4	±3.9	35.2	±3.0	<0.001
Before 34 weeks	22	57.5%	25	6.8%	< 0.001
Between 34 weeks and 37 weeks	11	27.5%	77	20.8%	-
After 37 weeks	6	15.0%	268	72.4%	-
Hemoglobin rate at delivery, g/dL	8.7	±1.9	9.0	±1.5	0.349
Delivery					
Vaginal delivery	7	17.5%	158	42.8%	0.001
Emergency cesarean section	29	72.5%	155	42.1%	-
Scheduled cesarean section	4	10.0%	56	15.2%	-
Indication for cesarean section ^b					
Fetal distress	12	30.0%	36	24.8%	0.509
IUGR	9	22.5%	12	3.2%	<0.001
Macrosomia	0	0%	1	0.3%	1
Pre-eclampsia	9	22.5%	26	7.0%	0.001
VOC/ACS	7	17.5%	29	7.8%	0.039
Failed labor induction	1	2.5%	43	11.6%	0.058
Scarred uterus	8	20.0%	30	20.7%	0.918
Other	12	30.0%	50	13.5%	0.010
Neonatal outcomes ($n = 434$ with twins)	(n=45)		(n=389)		
Vital status					
Liveborn	42	93.3%	380	97.7%	0.697
Stillborn	2	4.4%	4	1.0%	-
Intrapartum death	1	2.2%	0	0%	-
Neonatal death	0	0%	1	0.3%	-
Termination of pregnancy	0	0%	4	1.0%	-
Birth weight, g	1693.3	±749.3	2713.9	±685.5	< 0.001
Percentile	22.3	±26.5	32.0	±28.0	0.029
Arterial pH	7.27	±0.1	7.27	±0.1	0.847
Median 5-minute Apgar	8	±2	9	±1	< 0.001
Neonatal complications	15	33.3%	17	4.4%	< 0.001
Type of neonatal complications ^b					
Hyaline membrane disease	15	33.3%	16	4.1%	< 0.001
Intraventricular hemorrhage	2	4.4%	0	0%	0.010
Ulcerative necrotizing enterocolitis	1	2.2%	1	0.3%	0.166

Abbreviation: ACS, acute chest syndrome; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction; VOC, vaso-occlusive crisis.

^aData are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, or as number and percentage; unless otherwise stated.

^bThey are not mutually exclusive.

WANG ET AL.

been found to be effective in reducing VOC and ACS,¹⁵ but there is ongoing controversy. Transfusions can lead to alloimmunization, acute or delayed hemolysis, as observed in our cohort.^{3,6,12,27,28} French recommendations,¹⁵ based on the work of Howard et al.⁸ and Koshy et al.,²⁹ recommend restricting transfusions in pregnancy to patients with pre-existing severe organ damage (renal failure, cardiac insufficiency) or with severe or repeated VOC or ACS during the pregnancy. Prophylactic transfusions before PC administration are recommended by Habibi¹⁵ and the French Health Authority¹⁵ in order to limit the risk and severity of VOC, although previous studies did not include pregnant patients.^{30–32}

Hydroxyurea or hydroxycarbamide is usually contraindicated in the first trimester of pregnancy and is generally avoided throughout pregnancy because of the risk of bone marrow suppression.^{12,33} Hydroxyurea at conception and during pregnancy was associated with a two-fold increase in miscarriage and stillbirth³⁴ and increased

249

WILEY

TABLE 4 Comparison of the patients receiving prenatal corticosteroids with patients hospitalized during pregnancy who were eligible for fetal maturation, but did not receive corticosteroids.^a

Tetal maturation, but did not receive controsteroit					
		o prenatal eroids (n = 36)		but not exposed to ticosteroids (n = 58)	P value
Baseline data on SCD					
Hemoglobin rate in early pregnancy, g/dL	9.3	±0.19	8.7	±1.4	0.998
Long-term treatment					
Hydroxycarbamide	2	5.6%	3	5.2%	1
Erythropoietin	0	0%	1	1.7%	1
Other treatment during pregnancy ^b					
Aspirin	2	5.6%	10	17.2%	0.125
LMWH	5	13.9%	10	17.2%	0.642
Transfusion program ^c	20	58.8%	23	39.7%	0.099
Emergency transfusion for VOC ^d	14	41.2%	17	29.3%	0.249
Prophylactic oxygen therapy	5	13.9%	6	10.5%	0.755
VOC	10	27.8%	30	68.8%	0.004
VOC requiring opioids	5	13.9%	24	48.0%	0.001
Hospitalization in ICU for VOC	2	5.6%	8	15.7%	0.190
Acute chest syndrome	1	2.8%	4	6.9%	0.412
Thromboembolic complication	0	0%	4	6.9%	0.293
Hospitalization during pregnancy					
Gestational age of PC/of hospitalization					
Before 28 weeks	14	38.9%	8	16.3%	0.060
Between 28 and 32 weeks	10	27.8%	18	36.7%	-
Between 32 and 34 weeks	12	33.3%	23	46.9%	-
Indications ^b					
Pre-eclampsia	13	36.1%	28	49.1%	0.102
Severe preeclampsia	9	25.7%	17	29.3%	0.531
Intrauterine infection	2	5.6%	1	1.8%	0.552
Bacterial infection	11	30.6%	13	22.4%	0.358
Threatened preterm labor	12	33.3%	6	10.3%	0.009
PPROM	6	16.7%	4	6.9%	0.163
IUGR	14	38.9%	28	49.1%	0.448
Severe IUGR <3rd percentile	5	13.9%	13	22.8%	0.383
Outcomes after PC/after hospitalization					
VOC	15	41.7%	15	31.5%	0.323
VOC requiring opioids	12	34.3%	11	22.5%	0.321
VOC requiring ICU hospitalization	5	14.3%	3	6.1%	0.474
Acute chest syndrome	4	11.1%	4	6.9%	0.457
Thromboembolism	1	2.8%	0	0%	0.364

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction; PC, prenatal corticosteroids; PPROM, preterm prelabor rupture of membranes; VOC, vaso-occlusive crisis.

^aData are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, or as number and percentage.

^bThey are not mutually exclusive.

^cTransfusion program defined by exchange transfusions at regular intervals during pregnancy.

^dDoes not include transfusions administered as part of a transfusion program or prophylactic transfusions before prenatal corticosteroids.

low birthweight,³⁴ but there is no evidence of increased congenital anomalies in live-born infants.^{34,35} Prophylactic oxygen therapy is being evaluated in a randomized clinical trial based on promising observational data from Ribeil et al.³⁶

Pregnancies with major sickle cell syndromes should be managed in reference centers that include obstetricians and anesthesiologists trained in SCD, an adult critical care unit, and a blood transfusion team.

251

TABLE 5 Risk of sickle cell crisis adjusted on the probability of receiving prenatal corticosteroids. Comparison of the patients receiving prenatal corticosteroids for fetal maturation with patients hospitalized during pregnancy who were eligible for, but did not receive, corticosteroids.

Outcome after PC/after hospitalization	OR	95% CI	aORª	95% aCl ^a	Adjusted P value
VOC	1.67	0.68-4.08	1.37	0.43-4.40	0.581
VOC requiring opioids	1.80	0.68-4.74	1.44	0.40-5.11	0.842
VOC requiring ICU hospitalization	2.56	0.57-11.49	2.20	0.2-18.01	0.456
Acute chest syndrome	1.68	0.39-7.22	1.43	0.24-8.60	0.682

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ICU, intensive care unit; OR, odds ratio; PC, prenatal corticosteroids; VOC, vaso-occlusive crisis.

^aAdjusted on propensity score (probability of receiving prenatal corticosteroids, calculated from the variables body mass index, nulliparity, type of sickle cell syndrome, existence of blood transfusion program, VOC before hospitalization, gestational age at hospitalization, and indication for hospitalization).

TABLE 6 Comparison of pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in patients receiving prenatal corticosteroids for fetal maturation, compared with patients hospitalized during pregnancy who were eligible for, but did not receive corticosteroids.^a

	•	Exposed to prenatal corticosteroids (n = 36)		Eligible for, but not exposed to prenatal corticosteroids (n = 58)	
Obstetrical outcomes					
Gestational age of delivery, weeks	32.3	±3.9	35.2	±3.0	< 0.001
Before 32 weeks	16	44.4%	8	13.8%	0.012
Between 32 and 34 weeks	6	16.7%	8	13.8%	-
Between 34 and 37 weeks	8	22.2%	24	41.4%	-
After 37 weeks	6	16.7%	18	31.0%	-
Neonatal outcomes					
Vital status					
Liveborn	34	94.4%	57	98.3%	0.14
Stillborn	2	5.6%	0	0%	-
Termination of pregnancy	0	0%	1 ^c	1.7%	-
Neonatal complications	15	33.3%	9	15.5%	0.01
Type of neonatal complications ^b					
Hyaline membrane disease	15	33.3%	8	13.8%	0.004
Intraventricular hemorrhage	2	4.4%	0	0%	0.13
Ulcerative necrotizing enterocolitis	1	2.2%	1	1.7%	1

^aData are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, or as number and percentage.

^bThis patient was admitted at 24⁺³ weeks of pregnancy for severe intrauterine growth restriction; an aggravation of fetal Doppler led to a decision to perform termination of pregnancy at 25⁺⁶ weeks.

^cThey are not exclusive of each other.

A randomized trial would be required for definitive proof of whether PC are a risk in pregnant women with SCD but would be challenging because of the number of eligible patients and doubts regarding equipoise. Larger multicenter collaborations could be performed to pool and analyze observational data.

The present study is, to our knowledge, the first to study PC in pregnancies affected by SCD. The large multicenter population allowed for a wide diversity of patients, obstetrical and medical management. We had few missing data for the primary end point, allowing us to take into consideration confounders, construct a

propensity score and perform an analysis restricted to women eligible for corticosteroids.

The main weaknesses of the study are the observational nature, leading to treatment bias, and low power to demonstrate causal associations between PC and VOC in the case of obstetrical problems justifying hospitalization before 34 weeks of pregnancy. This was due to the restrictive policy regarding steroids. Also, because of small numbers, we did not differentiate S/β^0 and $S/\beta+SCD$. Finally, in the case of PC, we could not confirm the impact of prophylactic measures to prevent VOC, for potential treatment bias and lack of power.

WILEY- GYNECOLOGY $(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$

FIGC

TABLE 7 Among patients receiving prenatal corticosteroids, comparison between those who had sickling crisis following prenatal corticosteroids and those who did not.^a

	Patients w	with VOC ($n = 18$)	Patients w	ithout VOC ($n = 22$)	P value
SCD during the pregnancy					
Hemoglobin rate in early pregnancy, g/dL	9.3	±1.2	8.5	±1.8	0.202
Hydroxycarbamide	0	0	2	9.1	0.491
Other treatment during pregnancy					
Aspirin	1	5.6%	2	9.1%	1
LWMH	1	5.6%	4	18.2%	0.362
Transfusion program ^b	7	43.7%	13	59.1%	0.346
Prophylactic oxygen therapy	1	5.6%	4	18.2%	0.356
Emergency transfusion for VOC ^c	9	56.3%	8	36.4%	0.221
Prophylactic transfusion before PC	3	16.3%	4	18.2%	1
Data on VOCs during pregnancy before PC					
VOC before PC	6	33.3%	7	31.8%	0.920
VOC requiring opioids	4	22.2%	4	18.2%	1
Hospitalization in ICU for VOC	3	16.7%	2	9.1%	0.638
Acute chest syndrome	0	0%	2	9.1%	0.439
Indication for PC ^d					
PPROM	3	16.7%	2	9.1%	0.644
Preeclampsia	4	12.2%	7	31.8%	0.723
Risk of preterm labor	3	16.7%	7	31.8%	0.464
IUGR	9	50.0%	4	18.2%	0.049
Other	1	5.6%	3	13.6%	0.609
Gestational age at PC administration, weeks	29.1	±2.8	30.0	±3.5	0.443
Prophylaxis before PC	4	22.5%	4	18.2%	1
Type of prophylaxis					
Transfusion	3	16.7%	4	18.2%	1
Prednisone	1	5.6%	0	0%	0.450
Data on VOCs during pregnancy after PC					
VOC after PC	18	100%			
VOC requiring opioids	15	88.2%			
Hospitalization in ICU for VOC	8	47.1%			
Acute chest syndrome	7	39.9%			
Thromboembolism	2	11.1%			
Obstetrical outcomes					
Gestational age at delivery, weeks					
Before 32 weeks	7	38.9%	7	31.8%	0.940
Between 32 and 34 weeks	4	22.2%	6	27.3%	-
Between 34 and 37 weeks	4	22.2%	6	27.3%	-
After 37 weeks	3	16.7%	3	13.6%	-
Delivery					
Vaginal delivery	3	16.6%	4	18.2%	0.783
Emergency cesarean section	14	77.8%	15	68.2%	-
Scheduled cesarean section	1	5.6%	3	13.6%	-
Indication of cesarean ^d					
Fetal distress	6	33.3%	7	31.8%	0.922
IUGR	6	33.3%	3	13.6%	0.251

TABLE 7 (Continued)

Preeclampsia 4 22.2% 5 22.7% 1 VOC/ACS 6 33.3% 1 4.6% 0.029 Failed labor induction 0 0% 1 4.6% 1 Scarred uterus 4 22.2% 4 18.2% 1 Other 6 35.3% 6 27.3% 0.943 Postpartum complications 7 38.9% 3 13.6% 0.141 Indication of admission in ICU ⁴ 7 38.9% 0 0% 0.007 Hypertensive complication 2 11.8% 0 0% 0.007 PPH 0 0% 1 4.6% 1 Other 3 16.7% 2 1% 0.6 Stillborn 2 10.0% 0 0% - - Intrapartum death 0 0% 0 0% - - Neonatal death 0 0% 10 3 649.5 1		Patients with VO	C (n = 18)	Patients without	t VOC (n = 22)	P value
Failed labor induction00%14.6%1Scarred uterus422.2%418.2%1Other635.3%627.3%0.943Postpartum complicationsAdmission in ICU738.9%313.6%0.141Indication of admission in ICU ^d 738.9%00%0.007Hypertensive complication211.8%00%0.183PPH00%14.6%1Other316.7%29.1%0.642Neonatal outcomes71890.0%2496.0%0.191Stillborn210.0%00%-Intrapartum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%10.250.0.507Precentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.507Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Median 5-minute Apgar7±38±30.443Neonatal complication526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease526.3%1041.7%0.278Intraventricular hemorrhage526.3%104.2%1	Preeclampsia	4	22.2%	5	22.7%	1
Scarred uterus422.2%418.2%1Other635.3%627.3%0.943Postpartum complications </td <td>VOC/ACS</td> <td>6</td> <td>33.3%</td> <td>1</td> <td>4.6%</td> <td>0.029</td>	VOC/ACS	6	33.3%	1	4.6%	0.029
Other635.3%627.3%0.943Postpartum complications738.9%313.6%0.141Indication of admission in ICU ⁴ 738.9%00%0.007VOC/ACS738.9%00%0.007Hypertensive complication211.8%00%0.183PPH00%14.6%1Other316.7%29.1%0.642Neconatal outcomes718.890.0%2496.0%0.191Vital status100%2496.0%0.191Intrapertum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%14.0%-Birth weight, g188.84±649.51593.9±824.20.253Percentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.509Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Median 5-minute Apgar7±38±30.643Neonatal complication526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaine membrane disease526.3%1041.7%0.278Intraventricular hemorrhage15.3%14.2%1	Failed labor induction	0	0%	1	4.6%	1
Postpartum complications Admission in ICU 7 38.9% 3 13.6% 0.141 Indication of admission in ICU ^d 7 38.9% 0 0% 0.007 VOC/ACS 7 38.9% 0 0% 0.183 PPH 0 0% 1 4.6% 1 Other 3 16.7% 2 9.1% 0.642 Neonatal outcomes 1 4.6% 1 0 0 0.642 Iveborn 18 90.0% 24 96.0% 0.191 Stillborn 2 10.0% 0 0% - Intrapartum death 0 0% 0 0% - Neonatal death 0 0% 0 0% - Birth weight, g 1858.4 ±649.5 1593.9 ±824.2 0.253 Percentile 26.9 ±31.6 21.2 ±25.0 0.509 Arterial pH 7.28 ±0.08 7.27 ±0.1	Scarred uterus	4	22.2%	4	18.2%	1
Admission in ICU 7 38.9% 3 13.6% 0.141 Indication of admission in ICU ^d 7 38.9% 0 0% 0.007 VOC/ACS 7 38.9% 0 0% 0.183 PPH 0 0% 1 4.6% 1 Other 3 16.7% 2 9.1% 0.642 Neonatal outcomes 1 1.6% 0 0.642 0.191 Stillborn 18 90.0% 24 96.0% 0.191 Stillborn 2 10.0% 0 0 11 Neonatal death 0 0% 1 .00% 1 Birth weight, g 1858.4 ±649.5 1593.9 ±824.2 0.253 Percentile 26.9 ±31.6 21.2 ±25.0 0.509 Arterial pH 7.28 ±0.08 7.27 ±0.1 0.208 Neonatal complication 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.287 <tr< td=""><td>Other</td><td>6</td><td>35.3%</td><td>6</td><td>27.3%</td><td>0.943</td></tr<>	Other	6	35.3%	6	27.3%	0.943
Indication of admission in ICU ^d 7 38.9% 0 0% 0.007 Hypertensive complication 2 11.8% 0 0% 0.183 PPH 0 0% 1 4.6% 1 Other 3 16.7% 2 9.1% 0.642 Neonatal outcomes Vital status Liveborn 18 90.0% 24 96.0% 0.191 Stillborn 2 10.0% 0% - - Intrapartum death 0 0% 1 4.0% - Neonatal death 0 0% 0 - - Birth weight, g 1858.4 ±649.5 1593.9 ±824.2 0.253 Percentile 26.9 ±31.6 21.2 ±25.0 0.509 Median 5-minute Apgar 7 ±3 8 ±3 0.643 Neonatal complication 5 26.3% 10 4.7% 0.287 Hyaline membra	Postpartum complications					
VOC/ACS738.9%00%0.007Hypertensive complication211.8%00%0.183PPH00%14.6%1Other316.7%29.1%0.642Neomatal outcomesVital status190.0%2496.0%0.191Stillborn1890.0%2496.0%0.191Stillborn210.0%0%Intrapartum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%0%Birth weight, g1858.4±649.51593.9±824.20.253Percentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.509Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Median 5-minute Apgar7±38±30.643Type of neonatal complications ^d 526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease55.3%14.2%1	Admission in ICU	7	38.9%	3	13.6%	0.141
Hypertensive complication211.8%00%0.183PPH00%14.6%1Other316.7%29.1%0.642Neomatal outcomes </td <td>Indication of admission in ICU^d</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Indication of admission in ICU ^d					
PPH 0 0% 1 4.6% 1 Other 3 16.7% 2 9.1% 0.642 Neonatal outcomes 5 1 0.642 0.642 Vital status 5 5 5 0.642 Liveborn 18 90.0% 24 96.0% 0.191 Stillborn 2 10.0% 0 0% - Intrapartum death 0 0% 1 4.0% - Neonatal death 0 0% 0 0% - Birth weight, g 1858.4 ±649.5 1593.9 ±824.2 0.253 Percentile 26.9 ±31.6 21.2 ±25.0 0.509 Arterial pH 7.28 ±0.08 7.27 ±0.1 0.708 Median 5-minute Apgar 7 ±3 8 ±3 0.643 Neonatal complication 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.287 Type of neonatal complications ⁴ 1	VOC/ACS	7	38.9%	0	0%	0.007
Other316.7%29.1%0.642Neonatal outcomesVital statusLiveborn1890.0%2496.0%0.191Stillborn210.0%00%-Intrapartum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%00%-Birth weight, g1858.4±649.51593.9±824.20.253Percentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.509Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Median 5-minute Apgar7±38±30.643Neonatal complications ^d 526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease15.3%14.2%1	Hypertensive complication	2	11.8%	0	0%	0.183
Neonatal outcomesVital statusLiveborn1890.0%2496.0%0.191Stillborn210.0%00%-Intrapartum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%00%-Birth weight, g1858.4±649.51593.9±824.20.253Percentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.509Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Median 5-minute Apgar7±38±30.643Neonatal complicationsd526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease526.3%1041.7%0.278Intraventricular hemorrhage15.3%14.2%1	PPH	0	0%	1	4.6%	1
Vital status Vital status <td< td=""><td>Other</td><td>3</td><td>16.7%</td><td>2</td><td>9.1%</td><td>0.642</td></td<>	Other	3	16.7%	2	9.1%	0.642
Liveborn1890.0%2496.0%0.191Stillborn210.0%0Intrapartum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%00%-Birth weight, g158.4±649.51593.9±824.20.253Percentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.509Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Median 5-minute Apgar7±38±30.643Neonatal complications ^d 526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease526.3%104.2%1	Neonatal outcomes					
Stillborn210.0%00%-Intrapartum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%00%-Birth weight, g1858.4±649.51593.9±824.20.253Percentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.509Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Neonatal complication7±38±30.643Neonatal complications ^d 526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease55.3%104.2%1	Vital status					
Intrapartum death00%14.0%-Neonatal death00%00%-Birth weight, g1858.4£649.51593.9£824.20.253Percentile26.9±31.621.2±25.00.509Arterial pH7.28±0.087.27±0.10.708Neonatal complication7±38±30.643Type of neonatal complications ^d 526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease55.3%104.2%1	Liveborn	18	90.0%	24	96.0%	0.191
Neonatal death 0 0% 0 0% - Birth weight, g 1858.4 ±649.5 1593.9 ±824.2 0.253 Percentile 26.9 ±31.6 21.2 ±25.0 0.509 Arterial pH 7.28 ±0.08 7.27 ±0.1 0.708 Median 5-minute Apgar 7 ±3 8 ±3 0.643 Neonatal complication 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.287 Hyaline membrane disease 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.278 Intraventricular hemorrhage 1 5.3% 10 4.2% 12	Stillborn	2	10.0%	0	0%	-
Birth weight, g 1858.4 ±649.5 1593.9 ±824.2 0.253 Percentile 26.9 ±31.6 21.2 ±25.0 0.509 Arterial pH 7.28 ±0.08 7.27 ±0.1 0.708 Median 5-minute Apgar 7 1 3 0.643 Neonatal complication 5 26.3 10 41.7% 0.287 Hyaline membrane disease 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.278 Intraventricular hemorrhage 1 5.3% 1 4.2% 1	Intrapartum death	0	0%	1	4.0%	-
Percentile 26.9 ±31.6 21.2 ±25.0 0.509 Arterial pH 7.28 ±0.08 7.27 ±0.1 0.708 Median 5-minute Apgar 7 ±3 8 ±3 0.643 Neonatal complication 5 26.3 10 41.7% 0.287 Type of neonatal complications ^d 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.278 Intraventricular hemorrhage 1 5.3% 1 4.2% 1	Neonatal death	0	0%	0	0%	-
Arterial pH 7.28 ±0.08 7.27 ±0.1 0.708 Median 5-minute Apgar 7 ±3 8 ±3 0.643 Neonatal complication 5 26.3 10 41.7% 0.287 Type of neonatal complications ^d 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.278 Intraventricular hemorrhage 1 5.3% 1 4.2% 1	Birth weight, g	1858.4	±649.5	1593.9	±824.2	0.253
Median 5-minute Apgar7 ± 3 8 ± 3 0.643Neonatal complication526.31041.7%0.287Type of neonatal complications ^d 526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease526.3%1041.7%0.278Intraventricular hemorrhage15.3%14.2%1	Percentile	26.9	±31.6	21.2	±25.0	0.509
Neonatal complication526.31041.7%0.287Type of neonatal complicationsd526.3%1041.7%0.278Hyaline membrane disease526.3%1041.7%0.278Intraventricular hemorrhage15.3%14.2%1	Arterial pH	7.28	±0.08	7.27	±0.1	0.708
Type of neonatal complications ^d Hyaline membrane disease526.3%1041.7%0.278Intraventricular hemorrhage15.3%14.2%1	Median 5-minute Apgar	7	±3	8	<u>+</u> 3	0.643
Hyaline membrane disease 5 26.3% 10 41.7% 0.278 Intraventricular hemorrhage 1 5.3% 1 4.2% 1	Neonatal complication	5	26.3	10	41.7%	0.287
Intraventricular hemorrhage 1 5.3% 1 4.2% 1	Type of neonatal complications ^d					
	Hyaline membrane disease	5	26.3%	10	41.7%	0.278
Ulcerative necrotizing enterocolitis 0 0% 1 4.2% 1	Intraventricular hemorrhage	1	5.3%	1	4.2%	1
	Ulcerative necrotizing enterocolitis	0	0%	1	4.2%	1

Abbreviations: ACS, acute chest syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction; LMWH, low-weight molecular heparin; PC, prenatal corticosteroids; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage; PPROM, preterm prelabor rupture of membranes; SCD, sickle cell disease; VOC, vaso-occlusive crisis.

 a Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, or as number and percentage, unless otherwise stated.

^bTransfusion program defined by exchange transfusions at regular intervals during pregnancy.

^cDoes not include transfusions administered as part of a transfusion program or prophylactic transfusions before PC. ^dThey are not mutually exclusive.

TABLE 8 Association between transfusions before prenatal corticosteroids and occurrence of VOC following prenatal corticosteroids.

	aOR ^a (95% CI)	P value
Prophylactic transfusion before PC and occurrence of VOC following PC	1.29 (0.26-6.34)	0.753
Prophylactic transfusion before PC and occurrence of severe VOC following PC	0.82 (0.36-9.14)	0.462
Transfusion programs and occurrence of VOC following PC	0.55 (0.147-2.07)	0.371
Transfusion programs and occurrence of severe VOC following PC	0.68 (0.174–2.68)	0.572

Abbreviations: PC, prenatal corticosteroids; VOC, vaso-occlusive crisis. ^aAdjusted on severe sickle cell disease.

In conclusion, PC are a risk factor for more severe VOC in patients with SCD, especially in S/S SCD and severe baseline characteristics. Although definitive proof is lacking, we suggest that they should be used only after a multidisciplinary benefit/risk assessment and decision with the patient, when there is a crucial risk of very preterm delivery.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WILEY- GYNECOLOGY OBSTETRICS

Laurent Mandelbrot, Florence Wang, and Jeanne Sibiude conceived the study; Florence Wang, Mayi Gnofam, Frédéric Galacteros, Stéphane Bounan, Hervé Fernandez, Axel Fichez, Giovanna Cannas, Marine Driessen, Véronique Debarge, Caroline Makowski, Gylna Loko, and Olivier Graesslin collected, interpreted and analyzed the data; Bassam Haddad performed the statistical analysis; and Florence Wang, Laurent Mandelbrot, Jeanne Sibiude, and Bassam Haddad drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to writing and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Drepagest Study Group, which includes, in addition to the authors, all of the other members of the multidisciplinary centers who cared for the patients and contributed to the study, Pablo Bartolucci and Anoosha Habibi (Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil), Cyril Huissoud (Hôpital de la Croix Rousse, Lyon), Aline Dechanet (Unité de Recherches Cliniques, APHP, GHU Nord–Université de Paris), and Catherine Thong-Vanh, Didier Riethmuller (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France). We are grateful to all of the patients who agreed to the use of their data. In memoriam, Jean-Luc Voluménie, who passed while the study was underway.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data available on request from the authors.

ORCID

Laurent Mandelbrot b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5883-7597 Frédéric Galacteros b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2029-8126 Giovanna Cannas b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6785-7709 Véronique Debarge b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8402-4904 Gylna Loko b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6185-1146 Mayi Gnofam b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6749-7280 Olivier Graesslin b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3478-8225 Jeanne Sibiude b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6449-827X

REFERENCES

- ALD n° 10 Syndromes drépanocytaires majeurs de l'adulte. Haute Autorité de Santé. Accessed December 29, 2019. https://www.hassante.fr/jcms/c_938884/fr/ald-n-10-syndromes-drepanocytairesmajeurs-de-l-adulte
- Villers MS, Jamison MG, De Castro LM, James AH. Morbidity associated with sickle cell disease in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;199(2):125.e1-125.e5. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2008.04.016
- Ngô C, Kayem G, Habibi A, et al. Pregnancy in sickle cell disease: maternal and fetal outcomes in a population receiving prophylactic partial exchange transfusions. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2010;152(2):138-142. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2010.05.022
- Serjeant GR, Loy LL, Crowther M, Hambleton IR, Thame M. Outcome of pregnancy in homozygous sickle cell disease. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;103(6):1278-1285. doi:10.1097/01.AOG.0000127433.23611.54
- Boulet SL, Okoroh EM, Azonobi I, Grant A, Craig HW. Sickle cell disease in pregnancy: maternal complications in a Medicaid-enrolled

population. Matern Child Health J. 2013;17(2):200-207. doi:10.1007/s10995-012-1216-3

- Howard J, Oteng-Ntim E. The obstetric management of sickle cell disease. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2012;26(1):25-36. doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2011.10.001
- Brown AK, Sleeper LA, Pegelow CH, Miller ST, Gill FM, Waclawiw MA. The influence of infant and maternal sickle cell disease on birth outcome and neonatal course. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1994;148(11):1156-1162. doi:10.1001/archpedi.1994.021701100 42007
- Howard RJ, Tuck SM, Pearson TC. Pregnancy in sickle cell disease in the UK: results of a multicentre survey of the effect of prophylactic blood transfusion on maternal and fetal outcome. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1995;102(12):947-951. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.1995. tb10900.x
- Leborgne-Samuel Y, Janky E, Venditelli F, et al. Sickle cell anemia and pregnancy: review of 68 cases in Guadeloupe. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2000;29(1):86-93.
- Oakley LL, Mitchell S, von Rege I, et al. Perinatal outcomes in women with sickle cell disease: a matched cohort study from London, UK. Br J Haematol. 2022;196(4):1069-1075. doi:10.1111/bjh.17983
- Oteng-Ntim E, Meeks D, Seed PT, et al. Adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes in pregnant women with sickle cell disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood*. 2015;125(21):3316-3325. doi:10.1182/blood-2014-11-607317
- 12. Damlo S. ACOG publishes guidelines on hemoglobinopathies in pregnancy. AFP. 2007;76(8):1229.
- Meeks D, Robinson SE, Macleod D, Oteng-Ntim E. Birth weights in sickle cell disease pregnancies: a cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(10):e0165238. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165238
- Crowley PA. Antenatal corticosteroid therapy: a meta-analysis of the randomized trials, 1972 to 1994. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1995;173(1):322-335. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(95)90222-8
- Habibi A, Arlet JB, Stankovic K, et al. Recommandations françaises de prise en charge de la drépanocytose de l'adulte: actualisation 2015. Published online May 23, 2015. Accessed December 24, 2019. https://www.em-premium.com/data/revues/02488663/v36i5sS1/ S0248866315600029/
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) - a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42(2):377-381. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
- Smith J, Espeland M, Bellevue R, Bonds D, Brown A, Koshy M. Pregnancy in sickle cell disease: experience of the cooperative study of sickle cell disease. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1996;87(2):199-204. doi:10.1016/0029-7844(95)00367-3
- Boafor T, Olayemi E, Galadanci N, et al. Pregnancy outcomes in women with sickle-cell disease in low and high income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG*. 2016;123(5):691-698. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.13786
- Bernini JC, Rogers ZR, Sandler ES, Reisch JS, Quinn CT, Buchanan GR. Beneficial effect of intravenous dexamethasone in children with mild to moderately severe acute chest syndrome complicating sickle cell disease. *Blood*. 1998;92(9):3082-3089. doi:10.1182/ blood.V92.9.3082.421k15_3082_3089
- Strouse JJ, Takemoto CM, Keefer JR, Kato GJ, Casella JF. Corticosteroids and increased risk of readmission after acute chest syndrome in children with sickle cell disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008;50(5):1006-1012. doi:10.1002/pbc.21336
- Lopinto J, Gendreau S, Berti E, Bartolucci P, Habibi A, Mekontso DA. Effects of corticosteroids in patients with sickle cell disease and acute complications: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Haematologica*. 2022;107(8):1914-1921. doi:10.3324/ haematol.2021.280105

- Ferreira de Matos C, Comont T, Castex MP, et al. Risk of vasoocclusive episodes in patients with sickle cell disease exposed to systemic corticosteroids: a comprehensive review. *Expert Rev Hematol.* 2022;15(12):1045-1054. doi:10.1080/17474086.2022.21 49488
- Walter O, Cougoul P, Maquet J, et al. Risk of vaso-occlusive episode after exposure to corticosteroids in patients with sickle cell disease. *Blood.* 2022;139(26):3771-3777. doi:10.1182/blood.2021014473
- 24. Michel M, Habibi A, Godeau B, et al. Characteristics and outcome of connective tissue diseases in patients with sickle-cell disease: report of 30 cases. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;38(3):228-240. doi:10.1016/j.semarthrit.2007.10.003
- Zarrouk V, Habibi A, Zahar JR, et al. Bloodstream infection in adults with sickle cell disease: association with venous catheters, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and bone-joint infections. *Medicine*. 2006;85(1):43-48. doi:10.1097/01.md.0000197023.46846.1c
- Ancel PY, Goffinet F, Kuhn P, et al. Survival and morbidity of preterm children born at 22 through 34 weeks' gestation in France in 2011: results of the EPIPAGE-2 cohort study. JAMA Pediatr. 2015;169(3):230. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2014.3351
- 27. Oteng-Ntim E, Pavord S, Howard R, et al. Management of sickle cell disease in pregnancy. A British Society for Haematology guideline. *Br J Haematol.* 2021;194(6):980-995. doi:10.1111/bjh.17671
- Yawn BP, Buchanan GR, Afenyi-Annan AN, et al. Management of sickle cell disease: summary of the 2014 evidence-based report by expert panel members. JAMA. 2014;312(10):1033. doi:10.1001/ jama.2014.10517
- Koshy M, Burd L, Wallace D, Moawad A, Baron J. Prophylactic red-cell transfusions in pregnant patients with sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med. 1988;319(22):1447-1452. doi:10.1056/ NEJM198812013192204
- Sobota A, Graham DA, Neufeld EJ, Heeney MM. Thirty-day readmission rates following hospitalization for pediatric sickle cell crisis at freestanding children's hospitals: risk factors and hospital variation. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2012;58(1):61-65. doi:10.1002/ pbc.23221
- Isakoff MS, Lillo JA, Hagstrom JN. A single-institution experience with treatment of severe acute chest syndrome: lack of rebound pain with dexamethasone plus transfusion

therapy. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2008;30(4):322-325. doi:10.1097/ MPH.0b013e3181647bb2

- Yeral M, Boga C, Aytan P, Ozdogu H. Corticosteroid-induced vasoocclusive events may be prevented by lowering hemoglobin S levels in adults with sickle cell disease. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2017;56(5):717-718. doi:10.1016/j.transci.2017.08.005
- Qureshi A, Kaya B, Pancham S, et al. Guidelines for the use of hydroxycarbamide in children and adults with sickle cell disease: a British Society for Haematology guideline. Br J Haematol. 2018;181(4):460-475. doi:10.1111/bjh.15235
- Kroner BL, Hankins JS, Pugh N, et al. Pregnancy outcomes with hydroxyurea use in women with sickle cell disease. Am J Hematol. 2022;97:603-612. doi:10.1002/ajh.26495
- Ballas SK, McCarthy WF, Guo N, et al. Exposure to hydroxyurea and pregnancy outcomes in patients with sickle cell anemia. J Natl Med Assoc. 2009;101(10):1046-1051. doi:10.1016/ s0027-9684(15)31072-5
- 36. Ribeil JA, Labopin M, Stanislas A, et al. Transfusion-related adverse events are decreased in pregnant women with sickle cell disease by a change in policy from systematic transfusion to prophylactic oxygen therapy at home: a retrospective survey by the international sickle cell disease observatory. Am J Hematol. 2018;93(6):794-802. doi:10.1002/ajh.25097

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Wang F, Mandelbrot L, Affo L, et al. Impact of prenatal corticosteroid therapy on sickle cell disease in pregnant women. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2023;163: 243-255. doi:<u>10.1002/ijgo.14823</u>

255

WILEY